

Appl. No. 10/645,112
Amdt. Date: 09/07/05
Reply to Office Action of March 7, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


This response is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action mailed March 7, 2005, in the above-captioned application.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102 and §103:

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Pallini, Jr. et al. (US6,422,791). Claims 3 and 4 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pallini, Jr. et al. in view of Cannon (6,283,205). Reconsideration is respectfully requested. Claim 1, the sole independent claim, has been amended herein to include the allowable subject matter of cancelled claim 5 and is therefore believed to be in condition for allowance. Consequently, the remaining claims 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 which depend therefrom are also in condition for allowance.

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,


Nick A. Nichols, Jr.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 29,573

Nick A. Nichols, Jr., P.C.
P. O. Box 16399
Sugar Land, TX 77496-6399
281-494-8331